

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/675,399 | 09/30/2003 | Christopher Midgley | NTK-006.01 | 6490 |
| 25181 7: | 590 07/12/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| FOLEY HOA | G, LLP | LE, UYEN T | | |
| PATENT GRO | UP, WORLD TRADE | | | |
| 155 SEAPORT BLVD | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| BOSTON, MA 02110 | | | 2163 | |
| | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/675,399 | MIDGLEY ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Uyen T. Le | 2163 | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with | h the correspondence address |
|---|--|
| THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a No this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The retime periods: | tice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of ent, affidavit, or other evidence, which ee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date s no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 Chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for re set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| | and he filed within him months of the date of |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set fo <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | (e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing | a brief, will not be entered because |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (se | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | , |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mater appeal; and/or | ially reducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fin | ally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | • |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of N | Ion-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sep non-allowable claim(s). | parate, timely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | NO EXPLANATION NEEDED |
| Claim(s) allowed: | AMENDMENT TO CORRECT |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6,10,11,13-23,38,40,41,43-48,50,52,53 and 55-69</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | TYPO ONLY |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filin because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | affidavit or other evidence is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presentation. | r appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims a REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | after entry is below or attached. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application Shoot | |
| 12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) P 13. ☐ Other: | aper No(s). 16 june 06 and 19 gue 06 |
| | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that the claimed "monitoring" is supported by paragraph 0046 "the dedecting agent can interrupt request...". The examiner disagrees because the language quoted does not match the language of the specification at paragraph 0046. Applicant further argues that the language of "sequence of storage times", "that storage time", those contents" and "those locations" mean what ordinary English definitions and grammar would have them mean. The examiner is not convinced because "that storage time" is ambiguous. Does applicant intent for "the previous storage time" to be its antecedent? furthermore, the specification does not discuss any sequence of storage times. Therefore, rejection to all pending claims is maintained.

UYEN LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER